

Children's and Young People Services Monthly Performance Report

As at month end: March 2022 (Outturn 2021/22)

Document details
Status: Issue 1

Please note: Data reports are not dynamic. Although care is taken to ensure data is as accurate as possible every month, delays in data input can result in changes in figures when reports are re-run retrospectively. To combat this at least two individual months data is rerun for each indicator where necessary.

Our Vision Early Help Performance Summary

As at month end: March 2022 (Outturn 2021/22)

*DOT - Direction of travel represents the direction of 'performance' since the previous month showing if the number or percentage has gone up or down. Colours have been added to help distinguish better and worse performance with the exceptions of measures that are for information only. Key Below;

increase/decrease in number/percentage = decline in perior
 number/percentage remained same as previous month

D.	INDICATOR		,n	DATA												ARGET &		YR ON Y	YR PERFORMANCE		LATEST	ST BENCHMARKING				
RE NO	INDICATOR	easure:	TIMELINE	NOTE (Monthly)	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	2021	/22 Outturn	Good perf is		RAG (month)	DOT Yr on Yr)	RAG (Yr End)	Red	Amber	Green (target)	2020/21	Yr on Yr trend	Stat neigh	Best stat	Nat av.	Top qtile threshold	RIA 2019/20		
1	1.1 No. of early help contacts including step downs, co-working & partner recording (Families)	ឆ≊ប:	monthly	Count	157	158	216	2470	Illina	perr is	(month)	(month)	Yr on Yr)	(Yr End)			(target)	3537		av.	neigh		threshold	2019/2		
	1.2 No. of initial contact families that reached timeliness scope (exc. youth offending team)		monthly	Count	32	33	35	534	111	info	<u>T</u>		Υ					512					ļ			
	1.2 to or mind or idea (all index and received another coope (one year or in idea)		monthly	Count	30	26	29	474		info	<u>↑</u>		<u>т</u>					455								
1.	1.3 Initial contacts made within 3 working days of allocation		monthly	%	93.8%	78.8%	82.9%	88.8%	11111111	high	<u>T</u>		T L		<65%	65%+	75%+	88.9%					<u> </u>			
1.	1.4 No. of early help assessments that reached timeliness scope (45 days) (exc. youth offending team)	твз	monthly	Count	52	43	51	747		info	<u>T</u>	-	Ţ		~00 <i>7</i> 0	03701	7 3 701	870					ļ			
		150	monthly	Count	46	38	47	683		info	<u>T</u>		Ť					794								
1.	1.5 Early help assessments completed within 45 working days. (EHA complete in 48 days from triage decision date (3 days IC plus 45 days for EHA)		monthly	%	88.5%		92.2%	91.4%	1111 111		1		^		<75%	75%+	85%>	91.3%								
I			monthly	Count	25	40	51	390	1111	info	1		<u> </u>			10701		287					ļ	·		
1.	1.6 Early help assessments completed by partners	TB3	monthly	%	26.0%	38.1%	43.2%	28.9%			<u> </u>		1					22.2%					İ			
	Families		monthly	Count	1320	1272	1321	1321	.1111	info	1		Ţ					1359					ļ			
1.	1.7 Open familes/children at the end of the reporting period Children	СН		Count	2863	2777	2899	2899	.111.1.11	info	1		^					2889					<u> </u>			
1	1,8 No. of families closed in the reporting period	5	monthly	Count	147	206	155	2020		info	Ţ		<u>↑</u>					794					<u> </u>	·		
			monthly	Count	21	13	20	243		info	*		T T					397					<u> </u>	·		
1.	1.9 Re-referrals where early help has already worked a case in the last 12 months		monthly	%	16.0%	9.8%	12.7%	13.4%	11.111.1 1.	info	<u>T</u>		Ť					19.4%					ļ			
	Families		monthly	Count	20	27	37	322	11111111111	info	T		Ţ					524					İ			
1.1	.10 No. of step downs agreed in locality Children		monthly	Count	41	61	89	675	111	info	<u>T</u>		Ť					1042								
ļ	Duty		monthly	%	65.0%	ļ	70.3%	74.5%	1.11111	info	Ţ		· · ·													
1.1	.11 % of step downs Locality		monthly	%	35.0%		29.7%	25.5%	.1111	info	*												ļ	·		
ļ			monthly	%	44.4%	ļ	61.9%	41.7%		high	1		n/a										ļ			
1.1	12 % of joint handover visits completed that reached timeliness scope (7 working days from step down) Locality		monthly	%	40.0%	 	57.1%	35.1%	.1.1. 1.11	high	<u>T</u>		n/a										<u> </u>			
ļ	Families		monthly	Count	16	33	16	249		info	Ţ		Ţ					265								
1.1	.13 No. of step ups to social care (episode closure reason - 'Refer to LCS') Children		monthly	Count	42	76	43	558		info	Ť		Ť					628					<u> </u>			
2.	% of children aged 0-5 living in the 30% most deprived SOA's in Rotherham who are registered with a Children's Centre		monthly	%	86.0%		87.0%	87.0%		high	<u>,</u>		<u>^</u>				95%+	86%	1							
2.	% of children aged 0-5 living in the 30% most deprived SOA's in Rotherham who have accessed Children's Centre activities		monthly	%	64.0%	68.0%	72.0%	72.0%		high	^		^				65%+	68%	\sim							
	Official Social desirates		annual	%	1.3	i 3%	_	1.3%		-	<u> </u>		Ţ				<2.2%	1.6%		2.0%	0.5%	2.8%				
2.	2.3 Young people aged 16 17 (academic age) whose current activity is not known		monthly	%	1.1%	1.4%	2.0%	-		low	1		Ť				2.5%			(19/20)	(19/20)	(19/20)		·		
Ī			annual	%	3.0	L	-	3.6%		-	 		Ψ				<3.5%	4.0%		3.4%	2.4%	2.7%				
2.	2.4 Young people aged 16 17 (academic age) who are NEET		monthly	%	3.4%	3.8%	4.0%	-	 	low	1						3.6%			(19/20)	(19/20)	(19/20)	ļ			
Ī			annual	%		9%	-	4.9%		-	İ		Ψ				<5.7%	5.6%		5.4%	3.8%	5.5%				
2.	2.5 Young people aged 16 17 (academic age) who are NEET or not known combined		monthly	%	4.5%	5.1%	5.9%	5.9%		low	1	-					6.1%			(19/20)	(19/20)	(19/20)				
Ī			monthly	Count	10	8	6	_	_111	-	 		n/a											ļ		
2.	2.6 Looked after young people aged 16-17 (academic age) who reside in Rotherham who are NEET		monthly	%	4.7%	3.4%	2.4%	-	111111.	low	Ψ													ļ		
ļ	Looked offer young people and 46 17 (seedomic and who reside in Bethort		monthly	Count	0	1	0			-	<u> </u>		n/a											·		
2.	Looked after young people aged 16-17 (academic age) who reside in Rotherham whose current activity is not known		monthly	%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	-		low	Ψ.													ļ		
2	2.8 Young people aged 16 17 (academic age) meeting the duty to participate		monthly	%	91.6%	90.6%	90.0%			info	Ţ													·		
	2.9 No. of youth sessions undertaken	TB3 CH		Count	60	74	91	835		info	*													ļ		
۷.	L.O. or your occoons undertained	1	monully	Count	00	14	91	000]		Т															

		s Plan	s,	DATA										TARGE TOLERA			YR ON Y	YR PERFORMANCE	LATEST BENCHMARKING					
REF INDICATOR NO.		Success Measure Council	TIMELINE	NOTE (Monthly)	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	2021/	22 Outturn	Good perf is	DOT*	RAG (month)	DOT (Yr on Yr)	RAG (Yr End)	Red	Amber	Green (target)	2020/21	Yr on Yr trend	Stat neigh av.	Best stat neigh	Nat av.	Top qtile threshold	
3.1 No. of families engaged in the supporting families project (formerly 'F	amilies For Change')		monthly	Count				675		high	→		→				675	787	-					
3.2 % of families engaged of the annual target (900) for supporting familie	es		monthly	%	Temp	orarily suspe	nded	100.0%		high	-		->				100% (of 675)	109%						
3.3 No. of supporting families PbR outcomes claimed (evidence of emplo			monthly	Count	(0	0	2		high	->		^				437	6						
3.4 No. of supporting families PbR outcomes claimed (evidence of signifi	icant & sustained progress)		monthly	Count	9	0	48	450	mil I d	high	^		^				(combined target)	413						1
4.1 No. of young people first time entrants (FTE) into the criminal justice	system		quarterly	Rate per 10.000	-	-	-	225 (Oct 20-Sep 21)		low	n/a						Lower than same			148 (Oct 20-Sept 21)	108 (Oct 20-Sept 21)	154 (Oct 20-Sept 21)		
4.2 Use of Custody			quarterly	Rate per 10.000	-	-	-	0.0% (Jan 21-Dec 21)		low	n/a						qtr previous			0.11 (Oct 20-Sept 21)	0.00 (Oct 20-Sept 21)	0.11 (Oct 20-Sept 21)		†
4.3 Rate of re-offending by young offenders (re-offending rates after 12 n	nonths aggregated qtly cohort)		quarterly	Binary rate	-	-	-	24.2% (Apr 19-Mar 20)	l I	low	n/a						year & comparabl		\ /\	34.4% (Apr 19-Mar 20)	26.6% (Apr 19-Mar 20)	34.2% (Apr 19-Mar 20)		†
4.4 Re-offences by re-offenders (re-offending rates after 12 months aggre	egated qtly cohort)		quarterly	Frequency rate	-	-	-	4.93 (Apr 19-Mar 20)	i i	low	n/a						e with national			3.76 (Apr 19-Mar 20)	2.23 (Apr 19-Mar 20)	3.64 (Apr 19-Mar 20)		†
4.5 YOT programme contacts delivered			quarterly	Count	27	29	19	265		info	4						trends			(Apr 19-Mar 20)	(Apr 19-Mar 20)	(Apr 19-Mar 20)		+
4.6 No. of parenting programmes delivered			quarterly	Count	-	-	6	37		info	Ψ.													+
4.7 No. of families attending a parenting programme			quarterly	Count	-	-	12	120		info	4												İ	+
4.8 % of families completing a parenting programmes (attended 80%+ of	f programme)		monthly	%	-	-	58.3%	71.5%		high	4		n/a		<75%	75%+	80%+							+
	Families	TB4	monthly	Count	23	20	25	230		info	^													Ť
4.9 No. of family group conference referrals received	Children		monthly	Count	44	34	51	413			^													Ť
	Families		monthly	Count	22	16	24	213			<u> </u>												<u> </u>	Ť
No. of family group conference referrals accepted	Children		monthly	Count	43	29	51	394		-	^													Ť
No. of family group conference referrals awaiting allocation	Families		monthly	Count	1	0	0	-		low	-		n/a											Ť
	Children		monthly	Count	1	0	0	-	T I	low	->		n/a											Ť
	Families	TB5	monthly	Count	12	11	15	88		info	^													†
4.12 No. of family group conferences which have taken place	Children		monthly	Count	30	25	40	171		-	1													T
		TB4	monthly	Count	4	3	4	31	.i.lii.i l		^		n/a											Ť
4.13 Family group conferences which have taken place within 20 working of	days of allocation (families)		monthly	%	33.3%	27.3%	26.7%	35.2%	II	high	4		n/a											Ť
4.14 No. of family group conference reviews which have taken place (fami	ilies)	TB5	monthly	Count	4	1	4	23		info	1													T
4.15 No. of initial contacts due (families)			monthly	Count	29	20	26	206		high	^		n/a											T
			monthly	Count	26	19	26	180		high	1		n/a											T
4.16 No. of initial contacts made within 3 working days of allocation (famili	les)		monthly	%	89.7%	95.0%	100.0%	87.4%	1111111	high	^		n/a											T
447 N- 500 dead and the beautiful and the site of the s	(monthly	Count	11	4	12	69		info	1													T
4.17 No. FGC closed cases that have resulted in a family group conference	e (conversion rate)		monthly	%	84.6%	66.7%	85.7%	75.0%	IIIIu.l	info	1													
4.18 No. of open family group conference cases (families)		TB4	monthly	Count	49	50	55	-		info	1													T
1.19 No. of family group conference cases closed (families)			monthly	Count	22	15	23	163		info	1													T
5.1 % of positive family responses to the whole family working approach	questions on case closure		monthly	%	96.8%	92.9%	93.5%	94.6%	Haard I	_	1		n/a		<90%	90%+	95%+							Т
5.2 No. of formal complaints received during the reporting month			monthly	Count	0	0	0	4		info	→		^					3	$\overline{}$					T
5.3 No. of formal complaints upheld in the reporting month			monthly	Count	0	0	0	0		info	→		Ψ					2						T
5.4 No. of formal complaints closed during the month which were dealt wi	ith in timescales		monthly	Count	0	0	0	3	Ш	high	->		->				100%	3	$\overline{}$					T
5.5 No. of compliments received during the reporting month			monthly	Count	1	2	2	16	1.1.1.	info	→		¥					64						Ţ
		T	monthly	Count	18	14	17	146	location l	info	^		^					138	\setminus					T

Social Care Performance Summary As at month end: March 2022 (Outturn 2021/22)

*DOT - Direction of travel represents the direction of 'performance' since the previous month showing if the number or percentage has gone up or down. Colours have been added to help distinguish better and worse performance with the exceptions of measures that are for information only. Key Below;-

• increase/decrease in number/percentage = improvement in performance
• - increase/decrease in number/percentage = decline in performance

number/percentage remained same as previous month

	F								2021 / 22	2021 / 22							ARGET & .ERANCES		YR PERFORMANCE	LATEST BENCHMARKING				
REF NO.	INDICATOR	ccess asures uncil Pl	TIMELINE	DATA NOTE	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	0	utturn	Good perf is		RAG	(Yron	RAG (Yr End)	Red	Amber	Green	2020/21	Yr on Yr trend	Stat neigh av.	Best stat	Nat av.	Top qtile threshold	RIA
	In the second se	S We	ž	ı					di .	<u>'</u>) /	(IIIOIIIII)	Yr)	(TI Ellu)			(target)			neign av.	neigh		tillesiloid	2019/20
1.1	No. of all contacts (children) received	TB3	monthly	Count	1657	1627	1815	22209		info	↑													
	No. of all contacts (families) received		monthly	Count	840	832	905	11370	<u></u>	info	1						ļ						<u> </u>	
	No. of all contacts (children) identified as social care		monthly	Count	1294	1294	1314	16864	<u></u>	info	1		↑					13681	/					
1.2	No. of all contacts (families) identified as social care		monthly	Count	669	663	667	8727	<u></u>	info	↑													
	% of all contacts identified as social care		monthly	%	78.1%	79.5%	72.4%	75.9%	<u></u>	info	₩													
	No. of all contacts (children) identified as early help		monthly	Count	349	321	479	5155	 	info	↑													
1.3	No. of all contacts (families) identified as early help		monthly	Count	158	157	216	2470		info	↑													
	% of all contacts identified as early help		monthly	%	21.1%	19.7%	26.4%	23.2%	<u> </u>	info	1													
1.4	No. of contacts (children) that were requests for occupational therapy (OT) / Not Yet Known		monthly	Count	14	12	22	190	<u></u>	info	1													
1.5	No. of contacts (children) with decision within 1 working day (social care target)		monthly	Count	619	522	565	7067	بنيينالز	high	^													
	% of contacts with decision within 1 working day (social care target)	TB3	monthly	%	80.2%	81.8%	82.0%	80.3%	ln.1d.101	high	↑		1		<82%	82%+	90%+	59.9%						
1.6	No. of contacts (children) with decision within 5 working day (early help target)		monthly	Count	225	151	200	3039	IIII	high	^													
1.0	% of contacts with decision within 5 working day (early help target)		monthly	%	95.7%	99.3%	100.0%	96.5%	lintil lil	high	^													
1.7	No. of contacts going onto referral (all contacts)		monthly	Count	321	257	248	2977		info	¥													
1.7	% of contacts going onto referral (all contacts)	TB3	monthly	%	19.4%	15.8%	13.7%	13.4%		info	¥		Ψ.					18.6%	-					
1.7	% of referrals going onto assessment		monthly	%	97.5%	99.2%	99.6%	98.7%	1.1.11.1.1	high	1		Ψ.		<82%	82%+	90%+	99.5%						
	% of re-referral in 12 months - in current month	CH 6	10 monthly	%	12.7%	13.8%	16.0%	18.3%	dlu	low	^		^		30%+	22%+	<22%	17.9%	/					
1.10	No. of children in the CSE cohort	CF 7	10 monthly	Count	41	42	41	41	Hili	info	4		¥					47						
	No. of children in the CCE cohort		monthly	Count	46	44	42	42	:III	info	Ψ.				New mea	sure - base	elining year							
1.12	No. of assessments started		monthly	Count	308	251	225	2896		info	4		Ψ.					3002						
1.13	% of assessments for children's social care completed in 45 working days of referral		monthly	%	90.3%	94.1%	94.5%	88.8%	1111.1.1	high	^		4		<79%	79%+	87%+	92.7%		81.8%	99.7%	83.8%	91.7%	79.9%
	% of completed assessments ending in - on-going social work involvement		monthly	%	41.6%	41.5%	34.1%	38.7%	d. L.du	high	4		4					40.2%						
1.14	% of completed assessments ending in - step down to early help / other agency		monthly	%	30.7%	35.2%	39.9%	33.5%	ldr_111.d	info	^		^					33.4%						
	% of completed assessments ending in - no further action		monthly	%	27.7%	23.3%	26.0%	27.8%		low	^		^					26.5%						
1.15	No. of strategy meetings held (children)	твз	monthly	Count	183	185	148	2114	.llliii.	info	4				New mea	sure - base	elining year							
1.16	% of strategy meetings going onto S47		monthly	%	82.0%	71.9%	78.4%	78.7%	.1.1[].:	info	^				New mea	sure - base	elining year							
1.17	No. of S47 investigations started		monthly	Count	148	133	99	1637	llu.li.li	info	4		Ψ.					2057					1	
1.18	Rate of S47's per 10,000 population aged 0-17 - rolling 12 month performance		monthly	Rate per 10,000	298.9	301.1	284.93	284.93	IIII	info	¥		Ψ.		l	Jnder revie	w	353.8		218.8	119.4	164.4	-	213.2
	% of S47's with an outcome - concerns are substantiated and child is judged to be at continuing risk of significant harm		monthly	%	47.2%	43.9%	53.2%	52.4%	li.i.l.	high	^		^					47.1%						
1.19	% of S47's with an outcome - concerns are substantiated, but the child is not judged to be at continuing risk of significant harm		monthly	%	44.9%	44.6%	38.1%	41.4%	.1111.1	info	¥		Ψ					45.5%						
	% of S47's with an outcome - concerns not substantiated		monthly	%	7.9%	11.5%	8.7%	6.2%	.1.11	low	Ψ.		Ψ					7.3%						
2.1	No. of open CIN cases		monthly	Count	1062	1030	978	978	lddidb	info	Ψ.		Ψ.					1009						
2.2	No. of CIN (DfE definition)		monthly	Count	2274	2264	2186	2186			¥		Ψ.					2350						
2.3	No. of CIN per 10K population. (DfE definition)	CF 2	10 monthly	Rate per 10,000	395.8	394.1	380.5	380.5			Ψ		Ψ				<375.5	408.5		418.5	313.9	321.2	-	354.0
	% of CIN (open at least 45 days) with an up to date plan		monthly	%	86.7%	86.8%	83.0%	83.0%	IIII.	high	↓		Ψ		<82%	82%+	90%+	89.3%						
2.5	No. of children with a CiN plan being co-worked with early help		monthly	Count	169	156	208	208	llini	info	^				New mea	sure - base	.4		Ţ.					
26	No. of children who have been subject to a CiN plan for 12 months+	TB5	monthly	Count	63	71	65	65		low	j.				New mea	sure - base	elining year		<u> </u>					

		i		47.4	400		475			J.			1			405						
2.7 No. of children with a child protection (CP) plan		monthly	Count	474	493	475	475	1111_111111	L	•	 <u> </u>	ļ				435					ļ'	
2.8 No. of initial CP conferences (children)		monthly	Count	47	56	57	625		L	↑	 Ψ					635					<u> </u>	
2.9 % of initial child protection conference (ICPCs) completed within 15 days of S47	CH0	monthly	%	34.0%	87.5%	71.9%	74.1%	<u>lilillla þ</u>	high	Ψ	1		<82%	82%+	90%+	49.8%		76.5%	100.0%	77.6%	87.8%	79.4%
2.10 Rate of children with a CP plan per 10,000 population aged 0-17	3 3	monthly	Rate per 10,000	82.5	85.8	82.7	82.7		low	Ψ	 Ψ_				<85	110.4	/ ~~	58.3	24.9	41.4	- '	
2.11 No. of children subject to a CP plan for 12 months+	TB5	monthly	Count	79	89	74	74	<u>.վիրուի</u>	low	Ψ.			New mea	sure - base	lining year							
2.11 No. of children subject to a CP plan for 12 months+ 2.12 % of open CP plans lasting 2 years or more		monthly	%	3.8%	3.2%	2.5%	2.5%		low	Ψ	 ↑		10.2%+	2.2%+	<2.2%	0.5%	/\	1.9%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	
2.13 1% of CP cases which were reviewed within timescales		monthly	%	94.4%	96.6%	92.8%	94.4%	Hil.Hit	high	4	 Ψ		<84%	84%+	92%+	96.4%		92.2%	100.0%	93.2%	99.0%	
2.14 % of CPP with an up to date plan		monthly	%	92.0%	90.5%	95.2%	95.2%	a. naddd	high	1	1		<87%	87%+	95%+	80.5%						
2.15 No. of children with a CP plan being co-worked with early help		monthly	Count	217	240	244	244		info	1			New mea	sure - base	lining year							
2.16 % of CPP with visits in the last 2 weeks		monthly	%	89.4%	92.4%	87.9%	87.9%	dalbiot.	high	Ψ	Ψ		<87%	87%+	95%+	89.4%						
% of children becoming the subject of a CP plan for a second or subsequent time within 2 years - rolling 12 months		monthly	%	17.0%	16.9%	15.6%	15.6%		low	Ψ	1		17%+	9%+	<9%	7.9%						
3.1 No. of looked after children	TB5/ TB6	monthly	Count	570	572	562	562	lm.lit.	info	Ψ	Ψ					597						
3.2 Rate of looked after children per 10,000 population aged 0-17	CH0 4	monthly	Rate per 10,000	99.2	99.6	97.8	97.8	<u>lllit.</u>	low	Ψ	 Ψ		103.6+	98.8+	<98.7	103.8		98.2	59.0	67.0	- '	77.0
3.3 No. of admissions of looked after children	TB4/ TB6	monthly	Count	10	18	18	175	<u> </u>	info	→	Ψ					186						
3.4 % of eligible looked after children with an up to date plan		monthly	%	93.8%	91.1%	96.6%	96.6%	اعتسنال	high	1	1		<87%	87%+	95%+	95.7%						
3.5 % of looked after children visits up to date & completed within timescale of national minimum standard		monthly	%	98.4%	97.5%	96.8%	96.8%	<u> </u>	high	Ψ.	1		<87%	87%+	95%+	94.5%						
3.6 % of looked after children care plans reviewed within timescales		monthly	%	89.6%	95.9%	93.3%	94.3%	<u> </u>	high	•	Ψ		<87%	87%+	95%+	96.2%					<u> </u>	
3.7 % of looked after children having an initial health assessment within timescale		monthly	%	53.8%	100.0%	70.0%	68.5%	<u> </u>	high	4	Ψ					78.8%						
3.8 % of looked after children with a up to date health assessments		monthly	%	80.4%	76.9%	71.0%	71.0%	Шин.	high	•	Ψ		<87%	87%+	95%+	88.3%	√					
3.9 % of looked after children with a up to date dental assessments		monthly	%	46.7%	46.0%	44.0%	44.0%		high	Ψ	1		<87%	87%+	95%+	20.2%						
3.10 No. of children who have ceased to be looked after children		monthly	Count	14	17	26	212	111	high	^	1					183						
3.10 No. of children who have ceased to be looked after children No. of special guardianship orders (SGO) or child arrangement orders (CAO) granted after a period of being LAC (Legal Status) 3.12 No. of LAC who have ceased to be looked after due to a SGO or CAO		monthly	Count	5	4	4	85	<u> </u>	info	→			New mea	sure - base	lining year							
3.12 No. of LAC who have ceased to be looked after due to a SGO or CAO	TB5/ TB6	monthly	Count	2	3	2	42	<u>lı ı</u>	info	Ψ	 											
3.13 % of LAC who have ceased to be looked after due to permanence (SGO, CAO, Adoption) 3.14 % of long term LAC in placements which have been stable for at least 2 years		monthly	%	42.9%	17.6%	30.8%	34.8%	hallma	high	1	1		<27%	27%+	35%+	32.8%	\					
		monthly	%	69.1%	69.7%	70.2%	70.2%		high	1	1		<61%	61%+	69%+	69.2%		65.3%	73.0%	68.0%	71.1%	
3.15 % of LAC who have had 3 or more placements - rolling 12 months	TB6	monthly	%	9.3%	9.1%	9.3%	9.3%		low	^	1		18%+	10%+	<10%	8.9%		9.9%	8.0%	11.0%	9.0%	
3.16 % of LAC in a family based setting	TB6	monthly	%	78.9%	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	lmnn	high	->	4		<77%	77%+	85%+	79.9%	-					
3.17 % of LAC placed with parents or other with parental responsibility (P1)	TB4/ TB6	monthly	%	4.9%	4.5%	4.6%	4.6%		low	^	^					4.2%	~/~					
3.18 % of LAC in kinship care		monthly	%	10.0%	10.3%	10.5%	10.5%	11.111111	high	1			New mea	sure - base	lining year							
3.19 No. of placements that have been created for children via foster care (approvals)		monthly	Count	0	1	2	13	.1.1 11	high	^			New mea	sure - base	lining year							
3.20 No. of adoptions completed within 12 months of SHOBPA		monthly	Count	2	0	0	4	11	high	->	 Ψ					6	\					
3.21 Av. days between a child becoming looked after and having a adoption placement (A10)		monthly	YTD Average	431.4	431.4	418.9	418.9		low	Ψ.	Ψ				<487	446.6		350.1	274.0	367.0	317.5	360.0
3.22 Av. days between a placement order and being matched with an adoptive family (A2)		monthly	YTD Average	239.7	239.7	292.2	292.2	li	low	^	^				<121	210.6	$\wedge \vee \vee$	160.4	90.0	175.0	135.5	167.0
3.23 No. of care leavers		monthly	Count	293	297	292	292	III	info	₩	 Ψ					319						
3.24 % of eligible LAC & Care Leavers with an up to date pathway plan		monthly	%	75.2%	76.4%	82.8%	82.8%	1.11111	high	^	^					82.7%						
3.25 % of care leavers in suitable accommodation		monthly	%	94.9%	94.6%	96.6%	96.6%		high	^	Ψ		<86%	86%+	94%+	98.4%		88.4%	95.0%	85.0%	91.1%	
3.26 % of care leavers in employment, education or training		monthly	%	71.4%	70.4%	71.6%	71.6%		high	^	1		<57%	57%+	65%+	64.9%	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	53.6%	66.0%	53.0%	59.1%	
4.1 % of agency staff in social care		monthly	%	7.37%	7.18%	7.14%	7.14%	llı	low	Ψ	Ψ				<10%	12.5%	Ż	15.0%	0.0%	15.4%	7.7%	
4.2 Average caseload of social workers in key safeguarding teams (exc. Children's Disability Team)		monthly	Average count	20.6	19.8	19.4	19.4	llllu	low	•			25+	19+	<19							